Hadad, Kenan Hanna 2(2026). Cultivating Circularity: A Review of Industrial Symbiosis and Resource Flow Optimization in Agricultural Sectors. *SOLAV Journal*
https://solav.me/a/s2026781211

SOLAV Journal
A Scholarly Platform for Interdisciplinary Applied Futures Research
Publisher's Home Page: https://solav.me

Review Article Open Access

Cultivating Circularity: A Review of Industrial Symbiosis and Resource Flow Optimization in Agricultural Sectors

Hadad, Kenan Hanna[1*]

Abstract

The global agricultural sector is confronting the dual challenge of ensuring food security for nearly 10 billion people by 2050 while drastically reducing its environmental footprint. The dominant linear "take, make, dispose" model, characterized by excessive freshwater use, intensive agrochemical inputs, and massive generation of organic residues, is no longer tenable. Industrial Symbiosis (IS) – the collaborative exchange of materials, energy, water, and by, products among co, located or networked firms – has emerged as a key paradigm to operationalize the circular bioeconomy. In agricultural contexts, often termed Agro, Industrial Symbiosis (Agro, IS), the biological nature of material flows, spatial dispersion of actors, and strong seasonal variability introduce complexities not encountered in classic industrial ecosystems. This review systematically examines the intersection of IS and Resource Flow Optimization (RFO) within agricultural sectors. It synthesizes the typologies of Agro, IS, evaluates core analytical methodologies including Material Flow Analysis (MFA), Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), and advanced mathematical optimization models, and surveys their application across nutrient recovery, bioenergy production, and biomass cascading. The review further identifies enduring barriers – spatial, temporal mismatches, biological variability, information asymmetry, and regulatory fragmentation – and discusses how digitalization, multi, scale optimization, and institutional innovation can overcome these hurdles. We argue that the transition from isolated pilot projects to systemic circularity demands not only technical and methodological sophistication but also transformative policy frameworks and collaborative governance structures.

Keywords
Industrial Symbiosis · Resource Flow Optimization · Agro · Industrial Symbiosis · Circular Economy · Supply Chain Optimization

* Correspondence: hadadkenan@outlook.com
1 Independent Researcher

1. Introduction

Contemporary agriculture operates within a deeply linear metabolism. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) estimates that global food production consumes approximately 70% of all freshwater withdrawals and is responsible for 24% of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions [1], while nearly one, third of all food produced is lost or wasted [2]. Downstream, livestock operations generate billions of tones of manure annually, much of which exceeds the assimilative capacity of adjacent cropland, leading to nutrient runoff, eutrophication of water bodies, and ammonia emissions. Meanwhile, the global demand for synthetic nitrogen fertilizers, produced via the energy, intensive Haber, Bosch process, continues to rise, locking the food system into a fossil, fuel, dependent trajectory. The unsustainability of this linear model has galvanized interest in circular economy strategies that decouple agricultural output from resource consumption and waste generation.

Industrial Symbiosis (IS), a concept rooted in industrial ecology, offers a promising pathway. IS engages traditionally separate entities in a collective approach to competitive advantage involving the physical exchange of materials, energy, water, and by, products. The iconic Kalundborg Symbiosis in Denmark demonstrates how a network of disparate industries can achieve substantial economic and environmental gains through inter, firm resource sharing [3]. Extending these principles to the agricultural domain, Agro, Industrial Symbiosis (Agro, IS), holds the potential to transform agricultural “wastes” into valuable co, products, close nutrient loops, generate renewable energy, and create new revenue streams for rural economies [4], [5]. Yet, Agro, IS confronts unique challenges. Unlike the steady, homogeneous flows of steam, gypsum, or fly ash in heavy industrial parks, agricultural streams are biologically derived, seasonally pulsed, spatially diffuse, and chemically heterogeneous. Their valorization requires careful matching of supply and demand across multiple spatial and temporal scales.

Resource Flow Optimization (RFO) is the analytical engine that transforms the conceptual promise of Agro, IS into feasible, efficient, and resilient network configurations. RFO encompasses a suite of quantitative tools, from descriptive material flow accounting to prescriptive mathematical programming, that enable stakeholders to identify symbiotic opportunities, quantify their environmental and economic performance, and determine the optimal design and operation of circular supply chains. Over the past two decades, a substantial body of literature has developed around the application of Material Flow Analysis (MFA), Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), and optimization models such as Mixed, Integer Linear Programming (MILP) to agro, industrial systems. However, the field remains fragmented, with studies often focusing on a single methodological lens, a specific residue type, or a narrow geographic setting, without a holistic synthesis.

The objective of this review is to provide a comprehensive and critical overview of the conceptual, methodological, and practical dimensions of Agro, IS and RFO. Specifically, we aim to: (1) delineate the typologies and conceptual underpinnings of Agro, IS; (2) evaluate the principal analytical methodologies employed for RFO, highlighting their synergies and limitations; (3) synthesize empirical applications across key agricultural residue valorization domains; (4) diagnose the persistent technical, logistical, economic, and regulatory barriers to implementation; and (5) identify promising research frontiers and policy innovations that can accelerate the transition to circular agri, food systems. By integrating insights from industrial ecology, operations research, and agricultural systems science, this review offers a roadmap for cultivating circularity in agriculture.

2. Conceptual Framework: Agro, Industrial Symbiosis

Agro, IS is situated at the nexus of industrial ecology and the circular bioeconomy. Following Chertow's seminal definition, IS engages "traditionally separate industries in a collective approach to competitive advantage involving physical exchanges of materials, energy, water, and by, products" [6]. The key to IS is the synergistic proximity, geographic or virtual, that enables waste from one process to become feedstock for another. In agricultural systems, this logic extends to biological resource cycles, aligning with the Ellen MacArthur Foundation's "biological cycle" of the circular economy, where nutrients and biomass are designed to re, enter the biosphere safely or cascade through multiple use, stages [7].

Agro, IS can be analyzed through three distinct, often overlapping, typologies of symbiosis, each with characteristic spatial scales, actor configurations, and optimization challenges.

Internal Farm, Level Symbiosis: This is the most localized form, where resource cycling occurs within a single farm enterprise or a tightly integrated cooperative. Classic examples include integrated crop, livestock systems, where animal manure fertilizes feed crops and crop residues provide animal bedding or fodder, and on, farm biogas plants that anaerobically digest manure and vegetable wastes to produce energy and digestate fertilizer [8]. Internal symbiosis minimizes transportation costs and transactional friction but is often limited by scale. A dairy farm may have insufficient biomass to sustain an economically viable biogas plant, or its nutrient balance may remain skewed, requiring external inputs or off, farm nutrient export.

Inter, Farm Symbiosis: At the landscape level, specialized farms can exchange complementary resources. Arable farms, which generate large volumes of straw and have a continuous demand for nutrients, can partner with livestock operations that require bedding and produce surplus manure. Such exchanges create informal “manure, straw” markets that enhance resource efficiency without necessitating heavy capital investment [9]. Inter, farm symbiosis often emerges spontaneously as farmers seek to reduce input costs, yet its informal nature can lead to sub, optimal logistics, unrecorded nutrient flows, and potential biosecurity risks. Formalizing and optimizing these networks through digital platforms and collaborative agreements is a growing research frontier.

Regional Agro, Industrial Symbiosis: This most complex form encompasses cross, sectoral exchanges among agriculture, food processing, municipal systems, and industrial actors. It forms the backbone of eco, industrial parks with an agricultural focus. Examples include: food processing wastewater treated and reused for crop irrigation; waste heat from power stations or data centers used to warm greenhouses or dry agricultural residues; slaughterhouse by, products rendered into protein meals for aquaculture; and municipal source, separated organic waste co, digested with agricultural residues in centralized biogas plants [10]. Regional Agro, IS aligns closely with the concept of a “circular bioeconomy cluster” and often involves purpose, built infrastructure and formal partnership agreements. The scope for optimization is vast, as it requires matching heterogeneous feedstocks with diverse conversion technologies and end, uses, all under complex regulatory constraints.

The core philosophy of Agro, IS is the valorization cascade. Rather than a single, step waste, to, resource conversion, the cascading principle sequences biomass use to extract the highest possible economic and functional value before ultimate energy recovery or nutrient cycling. For instance, citrus peel can first yield essential oils and pectin, the residual pulp can serve as animal feed, and the final pomace can be anaerobically digested. Such cascading is inherently an RFO problem: the optimal sequence, scale, and location of processing steps must be determined to maximize overall system value. This multi, stage perspective differentiates sophisticated Agro, IS from simple “waste, to, energy” approaches and underscores the need for integrated life, cycle thinking.

3. Methodologies for Resource Flow Optimization

RFO in Agro-IS integrates several analytical disciplines to move from descriptive understanding to prescriptive network design. Three core methodological pillars can be identified: (1) material and environmental flow accounting (MFA and LCA), (2) mathematical optimization, and (3) integrated spatial and temporal modeling frameworks. Each serves a distinct role, and their combined application offers a robust decision-support system.

3.1 Material Flow Analysis (MFA) and Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

MFA is the fundamental diagnostic tool for Agro-IS. Rooted in the first law of thermodynamics, MFA systematically quantifies the stocks and flows of materials, often focusing on key nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium), carbon, or water, within a defined system and over a specified time period. Following the framework of Brunner and Rechberger, an MFA study begins with system boundary definition, followed by the identification of relevant processes, flows, and stocks, and the application of mass balance principles to reconcile data. In agricultural contexts, MFA is routinely employed at farm, watershed, and national scales to map nutrient flows and identify hotspots of loss or accumulation. For example, an MFA of a livestock-intensive region might reveal a phosphorus surplus ten times greater than crop uptake, indicating both an environmental liability and a potential resource for redistribution via Agro-IS.

Static MFA provides a snapshot, while dynamic MFA models temporal stock changes, which is especially relevant given the seasonal accumulation and depletion of manure storages or crop residues. When coupled with spatial analysis, MFA can unveil geographic mismatches between nutrient supply and demand, a prerequisite for designing symbiotic logistics. Software platforms such as STAN (subSTance flow ANalysis) facilitate transparent mass balance modeling and have been widely used in agro-IS research.

While MFA excels at tracking physical flows, it does not, on its own, assess environmental impacts. LCA fills this gap. Standardized by ISO 14040 and 14044, LCA evaluates the potential environmental impacts of a product or system throughout its life cycle, from raw material extraction to end-of-life. In Agro-IS, LCA is crucial for comparing the environmental performance of alternative resource pathways. For instance, an LCA study might compare the global warming potential, eutrophication impact, and water footprint of handling pig manure through conventional land spreading versus a symbiotic scenario involving anaerobic digestion, biogas upgrading to biomethane, and digestate pelletization for export. Consequential LCA, which models the market-mediated effects of a decision, is particularly relevant for assessing how large-scale Agro-IS might displace synthetic fertilizers or fossil energy carriers, triggering indirect environmental changes.

The integration of MFA and LCA yields a powerful hybrid approach: MFA ensures mass balance consistency for all foreground flows, while LCA quantifies the environmental significance of alternative flow configurations. Several Agro-IS studies have used this combination to simultaneously track nitrogen flows and the life-cycle eutrophication impacts of different manure management chains, thereby identifying win-win solutions that minimize both nutrient losses and overall environmental burden.

3.2 Mathematical Optimization Models

If MFA and LCA are diagnostic and comparative tools, mathematical optimization provides the prescriptive engine of RFO. Optimization models formulate the Agro-IS design problem as a mathematical program whose solution identifies the optimal configuration of symbiotic networks subject to specified objectives and constraints. The choice of modeling framework depends on the nature of decision variables, the objective space, and the need to handle uncertainty.

Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP): MILP is the workhorse of Agro-IS supply chain optimization. In a typical MILP formulation, continuous variables represent material flows (tonnes of straw, cubic meters of biogas, kilograms of recovered nitrogen) between supply nodes, processing facilities, and demand points, while binary or integer variables capture discrete decisions such as facility location, technology selection, or transport mode. The objective function commonly minimizes total system cost, comprising feedstock collection, transport, processing, and disposal costs, minus revenues from bio-products and avoided externalities, or equivalently maximizes system net present value. Constraints enforce mass balances at each node, capacity limits on processing units and storage, transport fleet availability, and product quality specifications. MILP models have been extensively applied to design biogas plant networks, optimize manure exchange platforms, and configure bio-refinery supply chains. Their linear structure ensures global optimality and computational tractability for networks of realistic size, although they require linear approximations of any inherently non-linear processes (e.g., biogas yield as a function of co-digestion ratios).

Multi-Objective Optimization: Agro-IS is inherently multi-criteria. Minimizing transportation costs by concentrating processing in a large centralized plant may maximize economies of scale but dramatically increase the haulage distance for diluted feedstocks, elevating fuel consumption and emissions. Maximizing nutrient recovery might involve multi-stage processing that reduces overall energy efficiency. Multi-objective optimization (MOO) explicitly acknowledges these trade-offs by optimizing two or more conflicting objectives simultaneously. The epsilon-constraint method and weighted sum approaches generate a set of Pareto-optimal solutions, where no objective can be improved without degrading another. Metaheuristic algorithms, notably the Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II (NSGA-II), have become popular for Agro-IS problems because they can handle non-linear process models and large solution spaces. By presenting a Pareto frontier to decision-makers, MOO facilitates transparent negotiation between economic, environmental, and social objectives, for example, trading off greenhouse gas reduction against local employment creation.

Input-Output and Macro-Level Models: While MILP and MOO operate at the meso-scale of supply chain networks, environmentally extended input-output (EEIO) models and computable general equilibrium (CGE) models assess the broader economic and environmental repercussions of scaling up Agro-IS. EEIO can estimate the economy-wide material and emission savings resulting from the substitution of virgin resources by symbiotic by-products, capturing indirect effects across multiple sectors. However, the sectoral aggregation of IO models limits their ability to represent the fine-grained logistics of specific symbiotic exchanges, making them complements rather than substitutes for process-level optimization.

3.3 Spatio-Temporal and Integrated Modeling Frameworks

The unique challenges of agriculture, spatial dispersion and seasonality, demand explicit incorporation of geographic and temporal dimensions into RFO. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) are routinely integrated with optimization to calculate realistic transport distances, locate candidate facility sites, and visualize supply-demand mismatch. Spatial optimization models can incorporate road networks, land-use constraints, and even real-time traffic data to refine logistics. On the temporal side, multi-period optimization models discretize the planning horizon into months or weeks, with inventory variables tracking the accumulation and depletion of seasonal biomass stocks. This enables the sizing of storage facilities and the design of processing schedules that mitigate the feast-and-famine pattern of agricultural residue supply.

Increasingly, simulation-optimization hybrid frameworks are employed to handle stochasticity. Monte Carlo simulation can be nested within an optimization loop to evaluate the robustness of a candidate network design against uncertain biomass yields, prices, or policy conditions. Agent-based modeling (ABM) adds another dimension, simulating the autonomous, rule-based behaviors of farmers, processors, and regulators to explore how social interactions, trust dynamics, and information sharing shape the emergent structure of symbiotic networks. ABM is particularly suited to studying the “soft” barriers to Agro-IS, such as reluctance to share data or risk-averse decision-making, which pure optimization cannot capture.

4. Applications of IS and RFO in Agriculture

The application of Agro-IS and RFO has yielded tangible progress across three principal valorization domains: nutrient recovery, bioenergy production, and bio-based product cascades. Here we review representative studies and real-world cases that illustrate both the achievements and the remaining challenges.

4.1 Nutrient Recovery and Circular Fertilization

Livestock-dense regions in Europe, North America, and East Asia face chronic manure surpluses. The optimization-driven redesign of manure management networks has emerged as a core Agro-IS application. Researchers have formulated MILP models that allocate manure from hundreds of animal farms to a set of candidate anaerobic digestion, composting, or nutrient recovery facilities, and subsequently distribute the resulting digestate or mineral concentrates to surrounding crop farms. For example, an optimization study of Flanders' pig manure network demonstrated that a combination of centralized anaerobic digestion, digestate processing (reverse osmosis, struvite precipitation), and long-distance export could reduce regional nitrogen surpluses to within environmental limits while generating biogas and organic fertilizers [11]. The model's spatial allocation layer ensured that phosphorus-rich solid fractions were preferentially transported to phosphate-deficient soils, an explicit circular fertilization strategy.

In the Netherlands, the concept of "manure exchange platforms" has been piloted, where a digital marketplace matches manure supply with crop demand, underpinned by an optimization algorithm that minimizes total transport costs and ensures compliance with application rate regulations. LCA has confirmed that such networks significantly reduce the carbon footprint and eutrophication potential of manure management relative to conventional spreading in oversupplied areas [12].

Another promising nutrient recovery route is the reclamation of phosphorus from food processing wastewater and slaughterhouse effluents as struvite (magnesium ammonium phosphate). RFO models have optimized the location of struvite crystallizers within regional networks, balancing the cost of magnesium addition and energy input against the market value of the recovered slow-release fertilizer [13]. These studies consistently show that while pure economic optimization often favors landfilling or simple discharge, the inclusion of environmental externalities in the objective function, through shadow pricing or multi-objective optimization, renders nutrient recovery financially viable.

4.2 Bioenergy Production and Co-Digestion Networks

The production of biogas and biomethane from agricultural residues epitomizes the intersection of Agro-IS and the energy transition. The co-digestion of animal manure with energy-rich co-substrates (e.g., crop residues, food waste, grass silage) enhances methane yields and stabilizes the anaerobic process, but it also introduces complex feedstock logistics and recipe optimization problems. A prolific stream of MILP research has addressed the optimal design of biogas supply chains: selecting plant locations and capacities, assigning feedstock catchments, determining the optimal co-digestion ratios, and planning digestate redistribution. A multi-period MILP for a Danish biogas network, for instance, demonstrated that strategically placed biogas plants with flexible storage can accommodate seasonal biomass availability while maintaining continuous gas production, with the digestate returned to farmland at agronomically appropriate times, thus closing nutrient loops.

In Italy, the Biogasfattobene® initiative exemplifies real-world Agro-IS, where farms that had installed biogas plants were encouraged to shift from dedicated energy crops (maize silage) to agricultural residues and by-products as feedstocks, coupled with innovative digestate management. Optimization modeling played a crucial role in demonstrating that the use of cover crops and livestock manure as co-substrates could meet biogas output targets while improving soil carbon balance and reducing land-use competition. Life-cycle-wide assessments of such integrated biogas systems consistently report significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions compared to fossil natural gas, though careful system expansion is needed to avoid leakage effects such as indirect land-use change.

4.3 Bio-Based Product Cascades and Biorefinery Systems

The cascading use of biomass along the value chain is a hallmark of circular bioeconomy, and RFO provides the quantitative backbone for designing integrated biorefineries. A classic example is the valorization of cereal straw. Optimization models have evaluated pathways where straw is first fractionated into cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin; cellulose fermented to bioethanol; hemicellulose to xylitol or biogas; lignin burned for combined heat and power; and the residual ash recycled as potassium fertilizer. A multi-objective MILP of a wheat straw biorefinery in Spain identified the configuration that maximized economic net present value while minimizing life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions, revealing that a combination of bioethanol and biomethane production, with optimized energy integration, could outperform single-product platforms.

Similarly, olive mill residues, a significant seasonal waste stream in the Mediterranean, have been modeled for cascading extraction of polyphenols, followed by anaerobic digestion of the remaining pomace. The RFO model determined the optimal scale of extraction plants and the radius of olive pomace collection that balanced high-value antioxidant recovery against the logistics of a short processing window. Such studies highlight the necessity of matching processing technology scale to the temporal profile of the feedstock, a constraint that pure chemical engineering approaches often neglect.

In the tropical context, palm oil mill effluents and empty fruit bunches have been the subject of extensive Agro-IS network design. Optimization frameworks have simultaneously considered the production of bio-compressed natural gas, bio-pellets, and organic fertilizers, linking palm oil mills with surrounding plantations and energy grids. These multi-product models not only enhance revenue diversification but also provide resilience against commodity price volatility, a critical factor for adoption in developing economies.

4.4 Water Reuse and Integrated Resources Management

Agro-IS extends beyond carbon and nutrients to water, a critical resource in arid and semi-arid regions. Food processing facilities generate large volumes of nutrient-rich wastewater that can be treated to irrigation quality standards and used on adjacent farmland. RFO models have optimized the spatial layout of piped distribution networks, balancing treatment costs against the value of water and nutrients delivered. In Israel, for instance, optimization of a regional symbiosis involving dairy, olive mill, and aquaculture effluents demonstrated substantial freshwater savings and avoided groundwater contamination, with optimization identifying the optimal blend ratios to meet crop-specific salinity tolerances [14].

5. Barriers and Challenges to Implementation

Despite the extensive body of modeling work and a growing number of pilot projects, the widespread implementation of Agro-IS remains elusive. The barriers are multifaceted and interlocking.

5.1 Spatial-Temporal Mismatch

The harvest-driven, seasonal pulse of agricultural residues is arguably the most intractable challenge. Crop straw becomes available within a few weeks, requiring massive storage capacity and rapid mobilization. Conversely, industrial demand for biogas or bio-based feedstocks typically requires steady, year-round supply. Storage technologies, dry bale storage, silage, or ensiling, are essential but entail dry matter losses, quality degradation, and cost. RFO models have quantified the trade-off: multi-period optimization regularly shows that the cost of storage and the capital cost of oversized processing equipment to handle peak supply can erode the economic viability of residue-based bioenergy. Biomass densification techniques such as pelletizing, torrefaction, and pyrolysis oil production can partially decouple feedstock supply from processing location and time, but these add conversion steps with their own energy and capital penalties. Geographic dispersion compounds this temporal problem, as bulky, low-density residues impose high collection and transport costs; the classic rule of thumb is that a 30–50 km collection radius is often the economic limit for raw agricultural biomass, severely constraining plant scale.

5.2 Biological Variability and Quality Uncertainty

Unlike homogeneous industrial by-products, agricultural residues vary enormously in composition. Manure nitrogen content fluctuates with animal diet, season, and manure management practices. Crop residue moisture and calorific value depend on weather at harvest and soil conditions. This variability undermines process stability in biological conversion steps (e.g., anaerobic digestion), complicates the formulation of market-grade fertilizers, and creates quality assurance challenges that deter off-takers. Deterministic optimization models typically assume average composition, which can lead to infeasible or sub-optimal designs in practice. Stochastic and robust optimization approaches are gaining traction, explicitly accounting for parameter uncertainty by ensuring constraint satisfaction under worst-case or probabilistic scenarios. However, such models require detailed statistical characterization of variability, data that are often scarce.

5.3 Information Asymmetry and Trust Deficits

Effective IS presupposes transparency about the quantity, quality, and timing of available waste streams. Yet in many agricultural regions, such information is fragmented, proprietary, or simply not recorded. A livestock farmer may not know the exact NPK content of his slurry, nor its hourly availability. A potential industrial user cannot build a business case on anecdotal data. Information asymmetry inflates transaction costs and deters investment. Digital platforms, such as those developed under the EU's FISS (Facilitated Industrial Symbiosis) model, have begun to address this by creating online waste-to-resource marketplaces, but adoption remains limited in the agricultural domain, where smaller, less technologically sophisticated enterprises predominate. Moreover, building trust among actors who may have historically been competitors is a social challenge that algorithms alone cannot solve. Social network analyses of established symbiosis networks reveal that personal relationships, facilitator credibility, and long-term contracts are crucial success factors.

5.4 Regulatory Fragmentation and Market Failures

The legal classification of agricultural residues is a persistent obstacle. Under many jurisdictions, material that is designated as "waste" triggers stringent handling, transport, and permitting requirements that are prohibitively costly for symbiosis. The European Union's Waste Framework Directive provides for "end-of-waste" criteria, but these have been operationalized only for a limited number of materials (e.g., compost, certain digestates). Recovery of nutrients in forms like ammonium sulfate or struvite often sits in a regulatory grey area, treated as waste until proven otherwise, which stifles innovation. Similarly, the REACH regulation in Europe can impose chemical registration burdens on recovered materials that do not apply to the virgin fertilizers they seek to displace.

Market failures further impede Agro-IS. Synthetic fertilizers benefit from well-established, subsidized production and distribution chains, whereas recycled fertilizers face market fragmentation, lack of standardization, and farmer skepticism. Carbon pricing schemes only partially close this competitiveness gap. The absence of liquid secondary raw material markets with transparent pricing mechanisms introduces revenue uncertainty that deters the investment needed for symbiotic infrastructure.

6. Future Directions and Policy Implications

To move from niche applications to systemic circularity, Agro-IS research and practice must advance on several fronts.

6.1 Digitalization and Industry 4.0

The integration of Internet of Things (IoT) sensors, remote sensing (satellite and drone imagery), and distributed ledger technology (blockchain) holds transformative potential. In-field sensors can monitor manure pit levels and nutrient concentrations in real-time, feeding data into a dynamic RFO platform that continuously re-optimizes collection routes and processing schedules. Remote sensing can estimate crop residue yields and moisture across a region before harvest, enabling proactive logistics planning. Blockchain-based smart contracts can automate transactions between farmers and bioenergy plants, immutably recording waste origin, quality certification, and transfer of ownership, thereby reducing trust barriers and administrative costs. Digital twin technology, a virtual replica of the symbiotic network updated with real-time data, could allow operators to simulate the impacts of disruptions (e.g., extreme weather, equipment failure) and evaluate adaptive strategies in advance. Research on the architecture, data standards, and cybersecurity of such Agro-IS digital platforms is urgently needed.

6.2 Multi-Scale and Transdisciplinary Optimization

Future RFO models must bridge the gap between micro-level farm decision-making and macro-level regional and national circular economy strategies. Hierarchical optimization frameworks can encapsulate the behavior of autonomous agents (farmers) within a system-level planner, akin to Stackelberg game formulations. For instance, a regional authority might offer subsidies for digestate transport to correct a phosphorus imbalance, anticipating how farmers will respond. This requires integrating optimization with positive mathematical programming or agent-based models that capture realistic farmer behavior. Transdisciplinary modeling that incorporates hydrology, soil science, and ecology is essential to capture the full system effects: an RFO-designed biogas network that looks optimal in a pure cost-minimization model may inadvertently deplete soil organic carbon if too much crop residue is removed, a trade-off that demands coupling with dynamic soil carbon models. Research consortia that bring together operations researchers, agronomists, economists, and social scientists will be necessary to develop these holistic assessment platforms.

6.3 Policy and Institutional Innovation

Governments must actively reshape the regulatory and economic environment to enable Agro-IS. First, expanding and harmonizing end-of-waste criteria for a broader range of agricultural residues and recovered nutrients is critical. Regulators should adopt a risk-based, science-informed approach that streamlines the transition of qualified materials from waste to product status. Second, economic instruments such as carbon pricing with border adjustments, nutrient trading schemes (modeled on cap-and-trade), and extended producer responsibility for fertilizer nutrients could level the playing field for circular alternatives. The EU's Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) eco-schemes could be leveraged to reward farms that participate in documented symbiotic exchanges with preferential payments. Third, public investment in shared infrastructure, multi-farm anaerobic digesters, biomass collection and storage hubs, can overcome the scale barriers that individual farms face. Such investments could be financed through green bonds or public-private partnerships. Finally, regional "circular bioeconomy hubs" staffed by dedicated facilitators, akin to the National Industrial Symbiosis Programme (NISP) in the UK, have proven effective in identifying and catalyzing symbiotic exchanges; expanding these models to agricultural contexts, with facilitators possessing agronomic, logistical, and negotiation skills, would accelerate implementation.

6.4 Resilience and the Social Dimension

The increasing frequency of climate-induced extreme weather events demands that Agro-IS networks be designed for resilience, not just static optimality. Resilience-oriented RFO models incorporate redundancy, flexibility, and multi-functionality into network design. This might mean intentionally oversizing storage to buffer supply shocks or designing biogas plants capable of switching between feedstocks. Research on robust and stochastic programming that considers deep uncertainty (climate scenarios, market disruptions) is progressing but requires better integration with agricultural decision cycles. Equally important is the social dimension: long-term viability of Agro-IS depends on stable collaborative relationships. Future research should apply social network analysis and institutional economics to understand governance models that balance power asymmetries between large agribusiness and smallholders, and that ensure equitable sharing of costs and benefits. Cooperative ownership models for symbiotic infrastructure (e.g., farmer-owned biogas cooperatives) have shown promise in maintaining commitment and distributing value locally.

7. Conclusion

Industrial Symbiosis and Resource Flow Optimization represent a fundamental reimagining of how agricultural systems manage their resources. By treating agricultural residues not as environmental liabilities but as valuable feedstocks for a circular bioeconomy, Agro-IS aligns economic development with ecological stewardship. The scientific community has built a formidable analytical arsenal, MFA, LCA, MILP, multi-objective optimization, and integrated spatial-temporal models, that has convincingly demonstrated the technical and environmental potential of nutrient recovery, bioenergy co-digestion, and cascading biomass valorization networks. Yet, this potential remains largely unrealized beyond pilot scales. The chasm between model-derived optima and on-the-ground implementation is maintained by persistent challenges: severe spatial-temporal mismatches, biological variability that undermines process control, profound information asymmetries, and a regulatory landscape still designed for a linear, extraction-based economy.

Bridging this chasm demands more than incremental model refinement. It requires a paradigm shift toward transdisciplinary, multi-scale, and digitally enabled RFO that is embedded in supportive institutional environments. The fusion of IoT, blockchain, and dynamic optimization could soon enable real-time, adaptive Agro-IS orchestration. Policy reforms that normalize the status of recovered nutrients, put a meaningful price on carbon and resource depletion, and fund shared infrastructure will be indispensable. Ultimately, cultivating circularity in agriculture is as much a social and institutional endeavor as it is a technical one. The farmers, processors, municipalities, and industries that will form the nodes of these symbiotic networks need trust, transparent information, and a regulatory framework that rewards long-term collective resource stewardship over short-term individual efficiency. As the global community confronts the intertwined crises of food security, climate change, and biodiversity loss, the optimization of resource flows through Industrial Symbiosis is no longer a niche academic exercise, it is an ecological and economic imperative whose time has come.

8. Declaration

8.1 Availability of data and material

Not applicable.

8.2 Funding

Not applicable.

8.3 Acknowledgements

The author used AI tools to assist with language editing, grammar, and readability to improve clarity and precision.

References

1. M. Crippa, E. Solazzo, D. Guizzardi, et al., "Food systems are responsible for a third of global anthropogenic GHG emissions," Nature Food, vol. 2, pp. 198–209, Mar. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-021-00225-9
2. R. Ishangulyyev, S. Kim, and S. Lee, "Understanding Food Loss and Waste—Why Are We Losing and Wasting Food?," Foods, vol. 8, no. 8, p. 297, Aug. 2019. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8080297
3. S. V. Valentine, "Kalundborg Symbiosis: fostering progressive innovation in environmental networks," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 118, pp. 65–77, Apr. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.01.061
4. M. Hamam, D. Spina, M. Raimondo, et al., "Industrial symbiosis and agri-food system: Themes, links, and relationships," Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, vol. 6, Jan. 2023. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.1012436
5. M. P. Marttila, V. Uusitalo, L. Linnanen, and M. H. Mikkilä, "Agro-Industrial Symbiosis and Alternative Heating Systems for Decreasing the Global Warming Potential of Greenhouse Production," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 16, p. 9040, Aug. 2021. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169040

6. M. R. Chertow, "Industrial symbiosis: literature and taxonomy," Annual Review of Energy and the Environment, vol. 25, pp. 313–337, Nov. 2000. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.25.1.313
7. P. Ghisellini, C. Cialani, and S. Ulgiati, "A review on circular economy: the expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 114, pp. 11–32, Feb. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.007
8. G. Lemaire, A. Franzluebbers, P. C. de Faccio Carvalho, and B. Dedieu, "Integrated crop–livestock systems: Strategies to achieve synergy between agricultural production and environmental quality," Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, vol. 190, pp. 4–8, May 2014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.08.009
9. M. K. Awasthi et al., "A critical review of organic manure biorefinery models toward sustainable circular bioeconomy: Technological challenges, advancements, innovations, and future perspectives," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 111, pp. 115–131, Sep. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.05.017
10. J. Mata-Alvarez, S. Macé, and P. Llabrés, "Anaerobic digestion of organic solid wastes. An overview of research achievements and perspectives," Bioresource Technology, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 3–16, Aug. 2000. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(00)00023-7
11. Vaneeckhaute, V. Meers, E. Michels, J. Buysse, and F. M. G. Tack, "Ecological and economic benefits of the application of bio-based fertilizers in agriculture," Biomass and Bioenergy, vol. 49, pp. 239–248, Feb. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.12.036
12. M. A. Dolman, J. C. M. Sonneveld, H. Mollenhorst, and I. J. M. de Boer, "Benchmarking the economic, environmental and societal performance of Dutch dairy farms aiming at internal recycling of nutrients," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 73, pp. 245–252, Jun. 2014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.02.043
13. K. S. Le Corre, E. Valsami-Jones, P. Hobbs, and S. A. Parsons, "Phosphorus recovery from wastewater by struvite crystallization: A review," Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 433–477, May 2009. https://doi.org/10.1080/10643380701640573
14. Shoushtarian and M. Negahban-Azar, "Worldwide Regulations and Guidelines for Agricultural Water Reuse: A Critical Review," Water, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 971, Mar. 2020. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12040971